



DESCRIPTION

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

HONING METHOD

5 [001] It is known to machine bores with an extremely high accuracy of size using arbor or mandrel honing. Examples are the machining of very small bores for car injection systems, the machining of bores in hydraulic components and the machining of the large and small orifices in connecting rods.

10

[002] In the case of arbor honing the honing tool set to the final diameter is moved at high rotation speed, but low stroke speed at least once and at the most three times through the bore. As a result of the high circumferential speed of the honing tool compared with the stroke speed, the
15 honing angle during arbor honing is very small.

[003] The problem of the invention is to further develop a honing method, whilst retaining the advantages obtained by arbor honing, with regards to a tribologically favourable surface topography.

20

[004] To solve this problem the invention proposes a method having the features of claim 1. Further developments of the invention form the subject matter of subclaims.

25 [005] Whereas during normal arbor honing using an arbor honing tool, the bore is only slowly traversed with a high rotational speed, the invention proposes that the arbor honing tool be at least partly used in a manner not conventional in the case of arbor honing. With a small allowance for the bore to be machined, e.g. the first stroke with the arbor honing
30 tool can be run through with an increased stroke speed to rotational speed ratio, i.e. in other words very rapidly.

[006] If said allowance is not as small, e.g. the first stroke, i.e. the first passage through the bore can take place at the normal speed and subsequently the tool can be rapidly retracted. Under the indicated conditions, this can also lead to the production of a cross-structure.

5

[007] However, according to a further development, the bore is initially machined in the conventional manner with a stroke and a return stroke and only then is the arbor honing tool used in the unconventional manner.

10

[008] Thus, the bore to be machined is machined in the same way as hitherto. Use is made of an arbor honing tool, which is moved through the bore in at least one stroke and a return stroke at a high rotational speed, but low stroke speed. Subsequently either the circumferential speed is modified or the stroke speed increased. Then, using the same tool, the bore is machined again, but now as a result of the higher stroke speed compared with the rotational speed, there is a larger angle of the honing tracks. On retraction it can be ensured that a cross-structure is obtained, which then leads to the desired, improved tribological characteristics.

20

[009] As a result of the characteristics of an arbor honing tool, which undergoes stress relief, the possibility arises during the return stroke that the abrasion is deeper than the honing tracks of the forward stroke. In certain circumstances this can lead to a risk of no cross-structure being formed. In order to obviate this risk in all cases, according to a further development of the invention the tool is reset prior to the remachining return stroke and is consequently stress-relieved. This resetting or stress relief can take place to such an extent that the honing tracks occurring during the return stroke have the same depth as those formed during the forward stroke.

25

30

[010] However, it is also possible and is proposed by the invention, to perform remachining with several and in particular rapid strokes, so that as a result of the plurality of strokes there are cross-structures having the same depth.

5

[011] A further infeeding of the tool is possible, so as to reliably cover the previously formed tracks.

[012] It is also possible during the downward stroke to make the abrasion so small that in the case of a fixed set tool during the upward stroke not all the tracks of the downward stroke are abraded.

[013] According to a further development of the invention, following onto remachining a further remachining takes place, in which the peaks of the surface structure are smoothed. This constitutes a type of plateau machining in order to be able to produce a specific support structure.

[014] According to the invention, the same tool as for the preceding remachining can be used for said smoothing.

20

[015] However, it is also possible and is proposed by the invention that the smoothing of the peaks can take place with the aid of another tool by means of arbor honing or normal honing.

[016] Further features, details and advantages of the invention can be gathered from the following description of a preferred embodiment thereof, the claims, whose wording is by reference made into part of the content of the description, and the attached drawings, wherein show:

30 Fig. 1 Diagrammatically the cross-section through a bore prior to the start of the honing proposed by the invention.

Fig. 2 The state of the bore after the first stroke.

Fig. 3 The state of the bore after the first return stroke.

5 Fig. 4 The state of the bore after the first remachining stroke.

Fig. 5 The state of the bore at the end of remachining.

[017] Fig. 1 diagrammatically shows the cross-section through a work-
10 piece 1 with the bore 2 contained therein. The bore 2 has resulted from
a preceding machining step and has been brought to a particular size by
grinding, turning or some other machining method. The structure of the
bore 2 is now to be smoothed and it is to be brought to its finished size.
For this purpose a honing tool 3 is used, which is in fact a mandrel or
15 arbor honing tool. This tool 3 contains a front, slightly conical cutting
zone 4, which is shown in highly exaggerated form in the diagrammatic
drawing. The tool 3 is moved at high rotational speed and low travel or
stroke speed through the bore 2, so that there is an abrasion of the wall
of the bore 2, i.e. the surface. The diameter of the bore increases and
20 following the passage of the tool 3 has a surface structure with honing
tracks 5. The state after the first passage of the tool 3 through the bore
2 is shown in fig. 2. The honing tracks 5 are almost parallel to the sur-
face 6 of the workpiece 1 or expressed differently almost perpendicular
to the rotation axis of the honing tool 3. This angle differing only slightly
25 from zero results from the high rotational speed of the tool compared
with the stroke speed.

[018] Following the first passage through the bore 2, the tool 3 is re-
tracted again, so that it assumes the position shown in fig. 3. During re-
30 traction new honing tracks 5 are produced, which are once again very
shallow as a result of the kinematics described. However, they now
have a different orientation, because the honing tracks obtained during

retraction in the example shown are deeper than the previously produced honing tracks. As a result only the honing tracks produced during the return stroke remain visible. Up to this point the honing method corresponds to a conventional arbor honing method.

5

[019] Now in a following remachining process the speed of the honing tool 3 is reduced and/or its stroke speed increased. After the first remachining stroke, the honing tracks 5 shown in fig. 4 are obtained and which are now under a much larger angle. This angle corresponds to the angle during a conventional honing method, which functions with numerous strokes. In order to produce this structure of the honing tracks a single stroke is not sufficient, because the abrasion of the material in the preceding operation has already taken place by arbor honing. The tool 3 must now be retracted again through the bore 2. As a result of a small diameter difference between the tool and the workpiece, the abrasive grains here only have a limited penetration depth. The tracks of the preceding downward stroke are retained. The small diameter difference can, in certain circumstances, be brought about in that the tool is somewhat relieved, so that its external diameter is slightly reduced. This makes it possible for the honing tracks occurring during retraction to have the same depth as the honing tracks occurring during the forward stroke and as shown in fig. 4. Thus, a structure of the honing tracks is obtained in the manner shown in fig. 5 after retracting the honing tool.

[020] The invention combines the advantages of arbor honing with the advantages of normal honing. The advantages of arbor honing, such as a high cylinder size accuracy, low tool costs, low machine costs and limited machining and subsidiary times can be combined with a tribologically suitable surface topography, as can be achieved using normal honing.

30